arXiv:1401.7428v1 [math.FA] 29 Jan 2014

Characterizations of ultramaximally monotone operators

Liangjin Yao*

January 28, 2014

Abstract

In this paper, we study properties of ultramaximally monotone operators. We characterize the interior and the closure of the range of an ultramaximally monotone operator. We establish the Brezis–Haraux condition in the setting of a general Banach space. Moreover, we show that every ultramaximally monotone operator is of type (NA). We also provide some sufficient conditions for a Banach space to be reflexive by a linear continuous and ultramaximally monotone operator.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:
Primary 47H05; Secondary 47N10, 47B65, 90C25

Keywords: Adjoint, Brezis-Haruax condition, Fenchel conjugate, Fitzpatrick function, linear operator, linear relation, maximally monotone operator, monotone operator, operator of type (D), operator of type (NI), operator of type (NA), rectangular, reflexivity, set-valued operator, subdifferential operator, ultramaximally monotone operator.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that X is a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, that X^* is the continuous dual of X, and that X and X^* are paired by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a *set-valued operator* (also known as a relation, point-to-set mapping or multifunction) from X to X^*, i.e., for every $x \in X$, $Ax \subseteq X^*$, and let $\operatorname{gra} A := \big\{(x,x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid x^* \in Ax\big\}$ be the *graph* of A. Recall that A is *monotone* if

$$\langle x-y, x^*-y^*\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (x,x^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A \; \forall (y,y^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A, \tag{1}$$

*CARMA, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, New South Wales 2308, Australia. E-mail: liangjinyao@gmail.com.

and *maximally monotone* if A is monotone and A has no proper monotone extension (in the sense of graph inclusion). Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone and $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$. We say (x, x^*) is *monotonically related to* $\operatorname{gra} A$ if

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A.$$

Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone. We say A is *ultramaximally monotone* if A is maximally monotone with respect to $X^{**} \times X^*$ [6].

Our paper is motivated by Bauschke and Simons' paper [6], where the authors study the properties of an unbounded linear ultramaximally monotone operator. In this paper, we continue to study the properties of a general ultramaximally monotone operator.

Monotone operators have proven to be important objects in modern Optimization and Analysis; see, e.g., [9, 10, 14, 1, 24, 45] and the books [5, 13, 19, 20, 29, 36, 37, 32, 46, 47, 48] and the references therein. We adopt standard notation used in these books: $\operatorname{dom} A := \big\{x \in X \mid Ax \neq \varnothing\big\}$ is the *domain* of A. Given a subset C of X, $\operatorname{int} C$ is the *interior* of C and $\overline{C}$ is the norm *closure* of C. We set $C^\perp := \{x^* \in X^* \mid (\forall c \in C)\, \langle x^*, c\rangle = 0\}$ and $S^\perp := \{x^{**} \in X^{**} \mid (\forall s \in S)\, \langle x^{**}, s\rangle = 0\}$ for a set $S \subseteq X^*$. Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$. The *adjoint* of an operator A, written A^*, is defined by

$$\operatorname{gra} A^* := \big\{(x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^* \mid (x^*, -x^{**}) \in (\operatorname{gra} A)^\perp\big\}.$$

We say A is a *linear relation* if $\operatorname{gra} A$ is a linear subspace. Let A be a linear relation. We say that A is *skew* if $\operatorname{gra} A \subseteq \operatorname{gra}(-A^*)$; equivalently, if $\langle x, x^*\rangle = 0$, $\forall (x, x^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$. Furthermore, A is *symmetric* if $\operatorname{gra} A \subseteq \operatorname{gra} A^*$; equivalently, if $\langle x, y^*\rangle = \langle y, x^*\rangle$, $\forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$.

The *indicator function* of C, written as ι_C, is defined at $x \in X$ by

$$\iota_C(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in C;\\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{2}$$

If $D \subseteq X$, we set $C - D = \{x - y \mid x \in C, y \in D\}$. For every $x \in X$, the normal cone operator of C at x is defined by $N_C(x) := \big\{x^* \in X^* \mid \sup_{c\in C}\langle c - x, x^*\rangle \leq 0\big\}$, if $x \in C$; and $N_C(x) = \varnothing$, if $x \notin C$. For $x, y \in X$, we set $[x, y] := \{tx + (1 - t)y \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Given $f\colon X \to \left]-\infty, +\infty\right]$, we set $\operatorname{dom} f := f^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^*\colon X^* \to [-\infty, +\infty] : x^* \mapsto \sup_{x\in X}\big(\langle x, x^*\rangle - f(x)\big)$ is the *Fenchel conjugate* of f. We say f is *proper* if $\operatorname{dom} f \neq \varnothing$. We say f is *supercoercive* if $\lim_{\|x\|\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|} = +\infty$. Let f be proper. Then $\partial f\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*\colon x \mapsto \big\{x^* \in X^* \mid (\forall y \in X)\, \langle y - x, x^*\rangle + f(x) \leq f(y)\big\}$ is the *subdifferential operator* of f. We denote by J_X the duality map from X to X^*, i.e., the subdifferential of the function $\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2$. For convenience, we denote by $J := J_X$.

Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ and $F\colon X \times X^* \to \left]-\infty, +\infty\right]$. We say F is a *representer* for $\operatorname{gra} A$ if

$$\operatorname{gra} A = \big\{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid F(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^*\rangle\big\}. \tag{3}$$

Given two real Banach spaces X, Y and $F_1, F_2\colon X \times Y \to \left]-\infty, +\infty\right]$, the *partial inf-convolution* $F_1 \square_2 F_2$ is the function defined on $X \times Y$ by

$$F_1 \square_2 F_2\colon (x, y) \mapsto \inf_{v\in Y} \big\{F_1(x, y - v) + F_2(x, v)\big\}.$$

We set $P_X : X \times Y \to X : (x, y) \mapsto x$, and $P_Y : X \times Y \to Y : (x, y) \mapsto y$. We denote by $\longrightarrow$ and $\rightharpoonup_{\mathrm{w}^*}$ the norm convergence and weak* convergence of nets, respectively.

We now recall two fundamental properties of maximally monotone operators.

Definition 1.1 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone.*

(i) *We say A is* of dense type or type (D) *(1976, [22]; see also [30] and [38, Theorem 9.5]) if for every $(x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*$ with*

$$\inf_{(a,a^*)\in \operatorname{gra} A} \langle a - x^{**}, a^* - x^* \rangle \geq 0,$$

there exist a bounded net $(a_\alpha, a_\alpha^*)_{\alpha\in\Gamma}$ in $\operatorname{gra} A$ such that $(a_\alpha, a_\alpha^*)_{\alpha\in\Gamma}$ weak$\times$strong converges to (x^{**}, x^*).*

(ii) *We say A is* of type negative infimum (NI) *(1996, [35]) if*

$$\inf_{(a,a^*)\in \operatorname{gra} A} \langle x^{**} - a, x^* - a^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall (x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*.$$

These two properties coincide by Simons, Marques Alves and Svaite (see [35, Lemma 15] or [37, Theorem 36.3(a)], and [27, Theorem 4.4]). By the definition of ultrmaximally monotone operators, every ultramaximally monotone operator is of type (D) (i.e., type (NI)). For convenience, we write type (NI) to represent type (D) as well. Note that not every operator of type (NI) is ultramaximally monotone. For instance, suppose that X is a nonreflexive space. Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be defined by $\operatorname{gra} A := X \times \{0\}$. Then $\operatorname{gra} A = \partial \iota_X$ and A is of type (NI) by Fact 2.8. But $\operatorname{gra} A \subsetneq X^{**} \times \{0\}$. Hence A is not ultramaximally monotone.

However, there always exists an ultramximally monotone operator in X, for instance, the operator with the graph: $\{0\} \times X^*$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect auxiliary results for future reference and for the reader's convenience. Our main results (Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 3.12) are presented in Section 3. We also pose various interesting open problems at the end of this paper.

2 Auxiliary Results

In this section, we introduce some basic facts. We start with the Attouch-Brezis' Fenchel duality theorem.

Fact 2.1 (Attouch-Brezis) (See [2, Theorem 1.1] or [37, Remark 15.2].) *Let $f, g : X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper lower semicontinuous and convex. Assume that $\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda[\operatorname{dom} f - \operatorname{dom} g]$ is a closed subspace of X. Then $\partial(f + g) = \partial f + \partial g$ and*

$$(f + g)^*(z^*) = \min_{y^*\in X^*} [f^*(y^*) + g^*(z^* - y^*)], \quad \forall z^* \in X^*.$$

Fact 2.2 (Simons and Zălinescu) (See [39, Theorem 4.2] or [37, Theorem 16.4(a)].) *Let X, Y be real Banach spaces and $F_1, F_2 \colon X \times Y \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper lower semicontinuous and convex. Assume that for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$,*

$$(F_1 \square_2 F_2)(x, y) > -\infty$$

and that $\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda [P_X \operatorname{dom} F_1 - P_X \operatorname{dom} F_2]$ is a closed subspace of X. Then for every $(x^*, y^*) \in X^* \times Y^*$,

$$(F_1 \square_2 F_2)^*(x^*, y^*) = \min_{u^* \in X^*} \{F_1^*(x^* - u^*, y^*) + F_2^*(u^*, y^*)\}.$$

The Fitzpatrick function below is a key tool in Monotone Operator Theory, which has been applied comprehensively.

Fact 2.3 (Fitzpatrick) (See [21, Corollary 3.9].) *Let $A \colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone, and set*

$$F_A \colon X \times X^* \to]-\infty, +\infty] : (x, x^*) \mapsto \sup_{(a,a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A} \big(\langle x, a^* \rangle + \langle a, x^* \rangle - \langle a, a^* \rangle\big), \tag{4}$$

the Fitzpatrick function *associated with A. Then for every $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$, the inequality $\langle x, x^* \rangle \leq F_A(x, x^*)$ is true, and equality holds if and only if $(x, x^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$.*

Fact 2.4 (Fitzpatrick) (See [21, Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.3].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone operator with $\operatorname{dom} A \neq \varnothing$. Then $F_A^* = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\operatorname{gra} A^{-1}$, $F_A^*(x^*, x) \geq F_A(x, x^*)$, $\forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$, and*

$$\big\{x \in X \mid \exists x^* \in X^* \text{ such that } F_A^*(x^*, x) < +\infty\big\} \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} A)}.$$

The following three results are the fundamental characterizations of the domain of a maximally monotone operator.

Fact 2.5 (Simons) (See [37, Theorem 27.1].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone operator. Then*

$$\operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} A = \operatorname{int} [\operatorname{conv} \operatorname{dom} A] = \operatorname{int} \big[P_X \operatorname{dom} F_A)\big].$$

Fact 2.6 (See [12, Theorem 3.6] or [14].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone operator. Then*

$$\overline{\operatorname{conv} [\operatorname{dom} A]} = \overline{P_X [\operatorname{dom} F_A]}.$$

Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. We say A is *of type (FPV)* if for every open convex set $U \subseteq X$ such that $U \cap \operatorname{dom} A \neq \varnothing$, the implication

$$x \in U \text{and} (x, x^*) \text{is monotonically related to} \operatorname{gra} A \cap U \times X^* \Rightarrow (x, x^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$$

holds. We do not know if every maximally monotone operator is necessarily of type (FPV) in a general Banach space [37, 13], but it is true in reflexive spaces. Every operator of type (NI) is of type (FPV) (see [38, Theorem 9.10(b)]).

Fact 2.7 (Simons) (See [37, Theorem 44.2].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a maximally monotone operator that is of type (FPV). Then*

$$\overline{\operatorname{dom} A} = \overline{\operatorname{conv}\big(\operatorname{dom} A\big)} = \overline{P_X\big(\operatorname{dom} F_A\big)}.$$

Now we introduce some properties of operators of type (NI) (i.e., type (D)).

Fact 2.8 (Gossez) (See [23, Theorem 3.1] or [37, Theorem 48.4(b)].) *Let $f : X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper lower semicontinuous and convex. Then ∂f is maximally monotone of type (NI).*

The following result provides a sufficient condition for the sum operator to be of type (NI).

Fact 2.9 (See [26, Theorem 1.2] and [44, Corollary 3.5] or [42, Corollary 18].) *Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone of type (NI). Assume that $\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B]$ is a closed subspace. Then $A + B$ is maximally monotone of type (NI).*

Fact 2.10 (Marques Alves and Svaiter) (See [27, Theorem 4.4].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ be maximally monotone of type (NI), and $F : X \times X^* \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper (norm) lower semicontinuous and convex. Let $B : X^* \rightrightarrows X^{**}$ be defined by*

$$\operatorname{gra} B := \big\{(x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**} \mid \langle x^* - a^*, x^{**} - a\rangle \geq 0,\ \forall (a, a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A\big\}.$$

Assume that F is a representer for $\operatorname{gra} A$. *Then F^* is a representer for* $\operatorname{gra} B$.

Fact 2.11 (Phelps and Simons) (See [31, Corollary 2.6 and Proposition 3.2(h)].) *Let $A\colon X \to X^*$ be monotone and linear. Then A is maximally monotone and continuous.*

Combining the above Fact 2.11, we have the following result.

Fact 2.12 (Bauschke and Simons) (See [6, Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 3.7].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation with* $\operatorname{ran} A = X^*$. *Assume that A is at most single-valued. Then A^{-1} is single-valued and linear monotone X^* to X^{**} with* $\operatorname{dom} A^{-1} = X^*$. *In consequence, A^{-1} is continuous and then A is ultramaximally monotone.*

During the 1970s Brezis and Browder presented a now classical characterization of maximal monotonicity of monotone linear relations in reflexive spaces [15, 16, 41]. The following result is their result in the setting of a general real Banach space. (See also [41] and [40] for Simons' recent extensions in (SSDB) spaces as defined in [37, §21] and of Banach SNL spaces.) Recently, Stephen Simons strengthens Fact 2.13 in [43].

Fact 2.13 (Brezis-Browder in general Banach space) (See [4, Theorem 4.1].) *Let $A\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a monotone linear relation such that* $\operatorname{gra} A$ *is closed. Then A is maximally monotone of type (NI) if and only if A^* is monotone.*

The next lemma is trivial but helpful.

Lemma 2.14 *Let $f : X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper lower semicontinuous and convex with* $\operatorname{int}\operatorname{dom} f \neq \varnothing$. *Assume that ∂f is ultramaximally monotone. Then X is reflexive.*

Proof. By the assumption, let $x_0 \in \operatorname{int}\operatorname{dom} f$. By [29, Proposition 3.3], there exist $\delta, M > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in x_0 + \delta B_X} f(y) \leq M. \tag{5}$$

Now we show that

$$x_0 + \delta B_{X^{**}} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f^{**}. \tag{6}$$

Let $x^{**} \in x_0 + \delta B_{X^{**}}$. By Goldstine's theorem (see [25, Theorem 2.6.26]), there exists a net $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ in $x_0 + \delta B_X$ such that $x_\alpha \rightharpoonup_{\mathrm{w}^*} x^{**}$. Then by (5), we have

$$f^{**}(x^{**}) \leq \liminf f^{**}(x_\alpha) = \liminf f(x_\alpha) \leq M.$$

Hence $x^{**} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{**}$ and thus (6) holds.

By Brøndsted-Rockafellar theorem (see [46, Theorem 3.1.2]), (6) shows that

$$x_0 + \delta B_{X^{**}} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f^{**} \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{ran} \partial f^*}. \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, [46, Theorem 2.4.4(iv)] implies that $\operatorname{gra}(\partial f)^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{gra} f^*$, by the assumption that ∂f is ultramaximally monotone, we have

$$\operatorname{gra}\big(\partial f\big)^{-1} = \operatorname{gra} \partial f^*.$$

Thus, (7) shows that $x_0 + \delta B_{X^{**}} \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{dom} \partial f} \subseteq X$. Then we have $X^{**} = X$. Hence we have X is reflexive. ■

3 Our main results

3.1 Properties of ultramaximally monotone operators

In Theorem 3.3, we provide a sufficient condition for the sum operator to be ultramaximally monotone. We first need the following two technical results.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $A, B \colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone, and suppose that $\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda [\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B]$ is a closed subspace of X. Set*

$$E := \big\{ x \in X \mid \exists x^* \in X^* \text{ such that } F_A^*(x^*, x) < +\infty \big\}$$

and

$$F := \big\{x \in X \mid \exists x^* \in X^* \text{ such that } F_B^*(x^*, x) < +\infty\big\}.$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B] = \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[E - F]\,.$$

Moreover, if A and B are of type (FPV), then we have

$$\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B] = \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[P_X \operatorname{dom} F_A - P_X \operatorname{dom} F_B]\,.$$

Proof. Using Fact 2.4, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B] \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[E - F] \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\left[\overline{\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} A)} - \overline{\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} B)}\right]\\
&\subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\left[\overline{\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} A) - \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} B)}\right] = \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\overline{[\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B)]}\\
&\subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B)]} = \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B] \quad \text{(using the assumption).}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B] = \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[E - F]\,.$

Now assume that A, B are of type (FPV). Then by Fact 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B] \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[P_X \operatorname{dom} F_A - P_X \operatorname{dom} F_B] \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\left[\overline{\operatorname{dom} A} - \overline{\operatorname{dom} B}\right]\\
&\subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\left[\overline{\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B}\right] \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B]}\\
&= \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B] \quad \text{(using the assumption).}
\end{aligned}$$

■

Proposition 3.2 below was first established by Bauschke, Wang and Yao in [7, Proposition 5.9] when X is a reflexive space. We now provide a nonreflexive version.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $A, B\colon X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone and suppose that $\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda\,[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B]$ is a closed subspace of X. Then $F_A \square_2 F_B$ is proper, norm$\times$weak* lower semicontinuous and convex, and the partial infimal convolution is exact everywhere.*

Proof. Define $F_1, F_2\colon X \times X^* \to\,]-\infty, +\infty]$ by

$$F_1 : (x, x^*) \mapsto F_A^*(x^*, x), \quad F_2 : (x, x^*) \mapsto F_B^*(x^*, x).$$

Since F_A and F_B are norm-weak* lower semicontinuous,

$$F_1^*(x^*,x) = F_A(x,x^*), \quad F_2^*(x^*,x) = F_B(x,x^*), \quad \forall (x,x^*) \in X \times X^*. \tag{8}$$

Take $(x,x^*) \in X \times X^*$. By Fact 2.4 and Fact 2.3,

$$\big(F_1 \square_2 F_2\big)(x,x^*) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle > -\infty.$$

In view of Lemma 3.1,

$$\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left[P_X \operatorname{dom} F_1 - P_X \operatorname{dom} F_2\right] = \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B\right] \text{ is a closed subspace.}$$

By Fact 2.2 and (8),

$$\begin{aligned}\big(F_1 \square_2 F_2\big)^*(x^*,x) &= \min_{y^* \in X^*} \left[F_1^*(x^*-y^*,x) + F_2^*(y^*,x)\right] \\ &= \min_{y^* \in X^*} \left[F_A(x,x^*-y^*) + F_B(x,y^*)\right] = \big(F_A \square_2 F_B\big)(x,x^*).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $F_A \square_2 F_B$ are proper, norm$\times$weak* lower semicontinuous and convex, and the partial infimal convolution is exact. ■

Now we come to our first main result.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone. Assume that $\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left[\operatorname{dom} A - \operatorname{dom} B\right]$ is a closed subspace. Suppose that A is ultramaximally monotone, and that B is of type (NI). Then $A+B$ is ultramaximally monotone.*

Proof. Clearly, $A+B$ is monotone and A is of type (NI). Fact 2.9 shows that $A+B$ is maximally monotone of type (NI). Define $K : X \times X^* \to \left]-\infty,+\infty\right]$ by $K := F_A \square_2 F_B$. Proposition 3.2 and Fact 2.3 imply that K is a representative of $\operatorname{gra}(A+B)$.

Let $(x^*,x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}$ be such that $K^*(x^*,x^{**}) = \langle x^*, x^{**} \rangle$. [38, Theorem 9.10(b)] implies that A and B are of type (FPV). Then by Lemma 3.1, Fact 2.3 and Fact 2.2, there exists $y^* \in X^*$ such that

$$K^*(x^*,x^{**}) = F_A^*(y^*,x^{**}) + F_B^*(x^*-y^*,x^{**}).$$

Then [26, Theorem 1.2] shows that

$$F_A^*(y^*,x^{**}) = \langle y^*, x^{**} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad F_B^*(x^*-y^*,x^{**}) = \langle x^*-y^*, x^{**} \rangle. \tag{9}$$

Since A is ultramaximally monotone, by Fact 2.10 and (9), we have

$$x^{**} \in X \quad \text{and} \quad y^* \in Ax^{**}. \tag{10}$$

Then combining (9), Fact 2.3 and Fact 2.4, $x^*-y^* \in Bx^{**}$ and hence $(x^{**},x^*) \in \operatorname{gra}(A+B)$. Hence

$$\left\{(x^{**},x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^* | K^*(x^*,x^{**}) = \langle x^*, x^{**} \rangle\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{gra}(A+B). \tag{11}$$

Since K is a representative of $\operatorname{gra}(A+B)$ and $A+B$ is of type (NI), Fact 2.10 shows that $A+B$ is ultramaximally monotone. ■

Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone. For convenience, we defined Φ_A on $X^{**} \times X^*$ by

$$\Phi_A \colon (x^{**}, x^*) \mapsto \sup_{(a,a^*)\in \operatorname{gra} A} \big(\langle x^{**}, a^*\rangle + \langle a, x^*\rangle - \langle a, a^*\rangle\big).$$

Then we have $\Phi_A|_{X\times X^*} = F_A$.

Now we present some characterizations of the interior and the closure of the range of an ultramaximally monotone operator, which generalizes Simons' results in a reflexive space (see [37, Theorem 31.2 and Lemma 31.1]).

Theorem 3.4 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be ultramaximally monotone. Then*

$$\operatorname{int}\operatorname{ran} A = \operatorname{int}\left[\operatorname{conv}\operatorname{ran} A\right] = \operatorname{int}\left[P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom} F_A\right] = \operatorname{int}\left[P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom}\Phi_A\right] \tag{12}$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{ran} A} = \overline{\operatorname{conv}\operatorname{ran} A} = \overline{P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom} F_A} = \overline{P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom}\Phi_A}. \tag{13}$$

Proof. We first show (12). Fact 2.3 implies that

$$\operatorname{ran} A \subseteq \operatorname{conv}\left[\operatorname{ran} A\right] \subseteq P_{X^*}\left[\operatorname{dom} F_A\right] \subseteq P_{X^*}\left[\operatorname{dom}\Phi_A\right]. \tag{14}$$

Define $B : X^* \rightrightarrows X^{**}$ by $\operatorname{gra} B := \operatorname{gra} A^{-1}$. By the assumption, B is maximally monotone. By Fact 2.5, we have

$$\operatorname{int}\operatorname{dom} B = \operatorname{int}\left[\operatorname{conv}\operatorname{dom} B\right] = \operatorname{int}\left[P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom} F_B\right]. \tag{15}$$

By the definition of B, we have

$$\operatorname{dom} B = \operatorname{ran} A \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_A(x^{**}, x^*) = F_B(x^*, x^{**}), \quad \forall (x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*. \tag{16}$$

Then (15) shows that

$$\operatorname{int}\operatorname{ran} A = \operatorname{int}\left[\operatorname{conv}\operatorname{ran} A\right] = \operatorname{int} P_{X^*}\left[\operatorname{dom}\Phi_A\right].$$

Thus combining (14), we have $\operatorname{int}\operatorname{ran} A = \operatorname{int}\left[\operatorname{conv}\operatorname{ran} A\right] = \operatorname{int}\left[P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom} F_A\right] = \operatorname{int}\left[P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom}\Phi_A\right]$.

Now we show (13). Fact 2.6 implies that

$$\overline{\operatorname{conv}\operatorname{dom} B} = \overline{P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom} F_B}.$$

Thus (16) implies that

$$\overline{\operatorname{conv}\operatorname{ran} A} = \overline{P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom}\Phi_A}.$$

Thus combining (14), we have

$$\overline{\operatorname{conv}\operatorname{ran} A} = \overline{P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom} F_A} = \overline{P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom}\Phi_A}. \tag{17}$$

Since A is of type (NI), [37, Theorem 43.2] and (17) show that $\overline{\operatorname{ran} A} = \overline{\operatorname{conv}\operatorname{ran} A} = \overline{P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom} F_A} = \overline{P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom}\Phi_A}$ and hence (13) holds. ■

Remark 3.5 We cannot significantly weaken the conditions in Theorem 3.4. For instance, we cannot replace "ultramaximally monotone" by "of type (NI)". In a nonreflexive space there always exists a continuous, coercive, and convex function f such that $\operatorname{int}[\operatorname{ran}\partial f]$ is not convex (where ∂f is of type (NI) by Fact 2.8, see also [11, Theorem 3.1] and [37, page 169] for more information), but (12) grantees that $\operatorname{int}[\operatorname{ran}\partial f]$ is convex.

The following result is very useful, which allows us to show that every ultramaximally monotone operator is of type (NA) (see Theorem 3.12 below).

Corollary 3.6 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be ultramaximally monotone. Then $A + J$ is ultramaximally monotone and* $\operatorname{ran}(A + J) = X^*$.

Proof. By Fact 2.8, J is of type (NI). Then applying Theorem 3.3, we have $A+J$ is ultramaximally monotone. Now we show that $\operatorname{ran}(A + J) = X^*$.

By [37, Eq.(23.9), page 101], $\operatorname{dom} F_J = X \times X^*$. Then $X^* = P_{X^*}[\operatorname{dom}(F_A \square_2 F_J)]$. Thus, [37, Lemma 23.9] implies that $X^* = P_{X^*}[\operatorname{dom} F_{A+J}]$. Since $A + J$ is ultramaximally monotone, Theorem 3.4 implies that

$$\operatorname{int}\operatorname{ran}(A + J) = \operatorname{int}[P_{X^*}\operatorname{dom} F_{A+J}] = \operatorname{int} X^* = X^*.$$

Hence $\operatorname{ran}(A + J) = X^*$. ■

Corollary 3.7 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be ultramaximally monotone with $0 \in \operatorname{dom} A$. Assume that* $\lim_{\|x\|\to\infty} \inf \frac{\langle x, Ax\rangle}{\|x\|} = +\infty$. *Then* $\operatorname{ran} A = X^*$.

Proof. We first show that

$$\{0\} \times X^* \subseteq \operatorname{dom} F_A. \tag{18}$$

Since $0 \in \operatorname{dom} A$, there exists $x_0^* \in X^*$ such that $(0, x_0^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$. Let $x^* \in X^*$. By the assumption, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\langle a, a^*\rangle \geq \|x^*\| \cdot \|a\|, \quad \forall \|a\| \geq \rho, \, (a, a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A. \tag{19}$$

Let $(a, a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$.

Case 1: $\|a\| < \rho$.

By $(0, x_0^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$, we have $\langle a, a^* - x_0^*\rangle = \langle a - 0, a^* - x_0^*\rangle \geq 0$. We have

$$\langle a, x^*\rangle - \langle a, a^*\rangle \leq \langle a, x^*\rangle - \langle a, x_0^*\rangle \leq \rho\|x^* - x_0^*\|.$$

Case 2: $\|a\| \geq \rho$.

Using (19), we have

$$\langle a, x^*\rangle - \langle a, a^*\rangle = \langle a, x^*\rangle - \|x^*\| \cdot \|a\| \leq 0.$$

Thus combining the above two cases,

$$F_A(0, x^*) = \sup_{(a,a^*)\in \operatorname{gra} A} \{\langle a, x^*\rangle - \langle a, a^*\rangle\} \leq \rho\|x^* - x_0^*\|.$$

Thus $(0, x^*) \in \operatorname{dom} F_A$. Hence (18) holds and then $P_{X^*} \operatorname{dom} F_A = X^*$. Thus Theorem 3.4 implies that

$$\operatorname{int} \operatorname{ran} A = \operatorname{int} [P_{X^*} \operatorname{dom} F_A] = \operatorname{int} X^* = X^*.$$

Thus $\operatorname{ran} A = X^*$. ■

Corollary 3.7 was first proved by Browder in a reflexive space (see [18, Theorem 3]).

Corollary 3.8 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone. Let $\delta > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. Assume that*

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^*\rangle \geq \delta\|x - y\|^\alpha, \quad \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A.$$

Then A is ultramaximally monotone if and only if $\operatorname{ran} A = X^*$.

Proof. "⇒": Let $(x_0, x_0^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$. Define $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ by $\operatorname{gra} B := \operatorname{gra} A - \{(x_0, x_0^*)\}$. Then by the assumption, we have B is ultramaximally monotone with $(0, 0) \in \operatorname{gra} B$ and

$$\langle b, b^*\rangle \geq \delta\|b\|^\alpha, \quad \forall (b, b^*) \in \operatorname{gra} B. \tag{20}$$

Thus, $\lim_{\|x\|\to\infty} \inf \frac{\langle x, Bx\rangle}{\|x\|} = +\infty$. Then by Corollary 3.7, $\operatorname{ran} B = X^*$ and hence $\operatorname{ran} A = X^*$ by the definition of B.

"⇐": We first show that A^{-1} is single-valued on X^*. Let $x, y \in A^{-1}x^*$. Then $(x, x^*), (y, x^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$. Then by the assumption, $0 = \langle x - y, x^* - x^*\rangle \geq \delta\|x - y\|^\alpha$. Thus, $x = y$ and hence A^{-1} is single-valued on X^* since $\operatorname{ran} A = X^*$. By the assumption again, we have

$$\|A^{-1}x^* - A^{-1}y^*\| \cdot \|x^* - y^*\| \geq \langle A^{-1}x^* - A^{-1}y^*, x^* - y^*\rangle \geq \delta\|A^{-1}x^* - A^{-1}y^*\|^\alpha, \quad \forall x^*, y^* \in X^*.$$

Thus

$$\|x^* - y^*\| \geq \delta\|A^{-1}x^* - A^{-1}y^*\|^{\alpha-1}, \quad \forall x^*, y^* \in X^*.$$

Since $\alpha > 1$, A^{-1} is continuous and then A^{-1} is maximally monotone from X^* to X^{**}. Hence A is ultramaximally monotone. ■

Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be such that $\operatorname{dom} A \neq \varnothing$. We say that A is *rectangular* if $\operatorname{dom} A \times \operatorname{ran} A \subseteq \operatorname{dom} F_A$ (see [17, 37, 48, 5, 8] for more information on rectangular operators).

The proof of Theorem 3.9 closely follows the lines of that of [37, Corollary 31.6].

Theorem 3.9 (The Brezis-Haruax condition in general Banach space) *Let $A, B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone with $\operatorname{dom} A \cap \operatorname{dom} B \neq \varnothing$. Assume that $A + B$ is ultramaximally monotone. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) *A and B are rectangular.*

(ii) *$\operatorname{dom} A \subseteq \operatorname{dom} B$ and B is rectangular.*

Then

$$\operatorname{int} \operatorname{ran}(A+B) = \operatorname{int} [\operatorname{ran} A + \operatorname{ran} B] \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\operatorname{ran} A + \operatorname{ran} B} = \overline{\operatorname{ran}(A+B)}.$$

Proof. We first show that

$$\operatorname{ran} A + \operatorname{ran} B \subseteq P_{X^*} \operatorname{dom} F_{A+B}. \tag{21}$$

Let $x^* \in \operatorname{ran} A + \operatorname{ran} B$, and $x_0 \in \operatorname{dom} A \cap \operatorname{dom} B$. Thus there exists $x_1^* \in \operatorname{ran} A, x_2^* \in \operatorname{ran} B$ such that $x^* = x_1^* + x_2^*$. Then we have $(x_0, x_1^*) \in \operatorname{dom} A \times \operatorname{ran} A$ and $(x_0, x_2^*) \in \operatorname{dom} B \times \operatorname{ran} B$.

Now we consider two cases.

Case 1: (i) holds.

We have $(x_0, x_1^*) \in \operatorname{dom} F_A$ and $(x_0, x_2^*) \in \operatorname{dom} F_B$. Since $F_A \square_2 F_B(x_0, x^*) \leq F_A(x_0, x_1^*) + F_B(x_0, x_2^*) < +\infty$, $(x_0, x^*) \in \operatorname{dom} F_A \square_2 F_B$. Then [37, Lemma 23.9] implies that $(x_0, x^*) \in \operatorname{dom} F_{A+B}$ and thus $x^* \in P_{X^*} \operatorname{dom} F_{A+B}$. Hence (21) holds.

Case 2: (ii) holds.

Since $x_1^* \in \operatorname{ran} A$, there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $(x_1, x_1^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$. By the assumption, $(x_1, x_2^*) \in \operatorname{dom} B \times \operatorname{ran} B \subseteq \operatorname{dom} F_B$. Similar to the corresponding lines in Case 1, we have $x^* \in P_{X^*} \operatorname{dom} F_{A+B}$ and thus (21) holds.

Combining all the above cases, (21) holds.

By Theorem 3.4 and (21)

$$\operatorname{int} [\operatorname{ran} A + \operatorname{ran} B] \subseteq \operatorname{int} [P_{X^*} \operatorname{dom} F_{A+B}] = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{ran}(A+B) \subseteq \operatorname{int} [\operatorname{ran} A + \operatorname{ran} B].$$

Hence $\operatorname{int} [\operatorname{ran} A + \operatorname{ran} B] = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{ran}(A+B)$.

By Theorem 3.4 and (21) again,

$$\operatorname{ran} A + \operatorname{ran} B \subseteq P_{X^*} \operatorname{dom} F_{A+B} \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{ran}(A+B)} \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{ran} A + \operatorname{ran} B}.$$

Hence $\overline{\operatorname{ran} A + \operatorname{ran} B} = \overline{\operatorname{ran}(A+B)}$. ■

Remark 3.10 Brezis and Haraux proved Theorem 3.9 in the setting of a Hilbert space (see [17, Theorems 3&4, pp. 173–174]). Reich extent the above result to a reflexive space (see [34, Theorem 2.2]).

Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be monotone. We say A is *of type (NA)* (where (NA) stands for "negative alignment") [6] if for every $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \backslash \operatorname{gra} A$, there exists $(a, a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$ such that $a \neq x, a^* \neq x^*$ and

$$\langle x - a, x^* - a^* \rangle = -\|x - a\| \cdot \|x^* - a^*\|.$$

Proposition 3.11 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be ultramaximally monotone and $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$. Then there exists $(a, a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$ such that $\|x^* - a^*\| = \|x - a\|$ and*

$$\|x - a\|^2 + \|x^* - a^*\|^2 + 2\langle x - a, x^* - a^* \rangle = 0.$$

Proof. Define $B : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ by $B := A(\cdot + x)$. By the assumption, we have B is ultramaximally monotone. Then Corollary 3.6 implies that $x^* \in \operatorname{ran}(B + J)$. Thus there exists $b \in X$ such that $x^* \in Bb + Jb = A(b + x) + Jb$. Let $a := b + x$. Thus $b := a - x$. We have $x^* \in Aa + J(a - x)$. Let $a^* \in Aa$ such that $x^* \in a^* + J(a - x)$. Hence we have $x^* - a^* \in J(a - x)$ and then

$$\langle x^* - a^*, a - x \rangle = \|x^* - a^*\| \cdot \|a - x\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|x^* - a^*\| = \|a - x\|.$$

Then

$$\|x - a\|^2 + \|x^* - a^*\|^2 + 2\langle x - a, x^* - a^* \rangle = 2\|x - a\|^2 - 2\|x^* - a^*\| \cdot \|a - x\| = 0.$$

■

Now we show that every ultramaximally monotone operator is of type (NA).

Theorem 3.12 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be ultramaximally monotone. Then A is of type (NA).*

Proof. Let $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \backslash \operatorname{gra} A$. Proposition 3.11 implies that there exists $(a, a^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$ such that $\|x^* - a^*\| = \|x - a\|$ and $2\|x - a\| \cdot \|x^* - a^*\| + 2\langle x - a, x^* - a^* \rangle = 0$. Thus

$$\langle x - a, x^* - a^* \rangle = -\|x - a\| \cdot \|x^* - a^*\|.$$

Since $(x, x^*) \notin \operatorname{gra} A$ and $\|x^* - a^*\| = \|x - a\|$, we have $\|x^* - a^*\| = \|x - a\| \neq 0$. Hence $x \neq a$ and $x^* \neq a^*$. ■

Remark 3.13 In [37, Remark 29.4], Simons shows that not every operator of type (NI) is necessarily of type (NA) (see the operator $A := \partial \iota_X$ when X is nonreflexive). Hence we cannot significantly weaken the conditions in Theorem 3.12.

Corollary 3.14 (Bauschke and Simons) (See [6, Theorem 3.5].) *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be an ultramaximally monotone linear relation. Assume that A is at most single-valued. Then A is of type (NA).*

3.2 Linear continuous operator

In this subsection, we present some sufficient conditions for a Banach space to be reflexive by a linear continuous and ultramaximally monotone operator.

Let $A : X \to X^*$ be a linear continuous operator. Define $P, S : X \to X^*$ by

$$P := \frac{A + A^*}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad S := \frac{A - A^*}{2}, \tag{22}$$

respectively. When A is monotone, we apply use the well known fact (see, e.g., [31]) to obtain that

$$\nabla f = P, \tag{23}$$

where $f := \frac{1}{2}\langle x, Ax\rangle, \quad \forall x \in X$.

Lemma 3.15 *Let $A : X \to X^*$ be linear continuous and ultramaximally monotone. Let S be defined as in* (22)*. Then S is ultramaximally monotone.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that S is not ultramaximally monotone. Since S is maximally monotone, there exists $(x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*$ such that $x^{**} \notin X$ and (x^{**}, x^*) is monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra} S$.

We have $\operatorname{gra} A := \operatorname{gra}(P + S) \subseteq \operatorname{gra}(P^* + S)$. Fact 2.8 and (23) show that P is maximally monotone of type (NI). Fact 2.13 shows that P^* is monotone. Then we have $(x^{**}, P^*x^{**} + x^*)$ is monotonically related to $\operatorname{gra} A$. By the assumption, $(x^{**}, P^*x^{**} + x^*) \in \operatorname{gra} A$, which contradicts that $x^{**} \notin X$. ■

Corollary 3.16 *Let $A : X \to X^*$ be linear continuous and monotone. Assume that* $\operatorname{ran} A = X^*$*. Let S be defined as in* (22)*. Then S is ultramaximally monotone.*

Proof. Combine Fact 2.12 and Lemma 3.15 directly. ■

Proposition 3.17 *Let $A : X \to X^*$ be linear continuous and ultramaximally monotone, and S be defined as in* (22)*. Suppose that there exists a proper lower semicontinuous and convex function $g : X \to \,]-\infty, +\infty]$ such that* $\operatorname{int}\operatorname{dom} g \neq \varnothing$ *and $\partial g - S$ is of type (NI). Then X is reflexive.*

Proof. Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.15 imply that $\partial g = S + (\partial g - S)$ is ultramaximally monotone. Thus combining with Lemma 2.14, X is reflexive. ■

Corollary 3.18 *Let $A : X \to X^*$ be linear continuous and ultramaximally monotone. Suppose that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\langle Ax, x\rangle \geq \delta\|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in X$. Then X is reflexive.*

Proof. Let P, S be defined as in (22). Now we show that

$$\langle P^*x^{**}, x^{**}\rangle \geq \delta\|x^{**}\|^2, \quad \forall x^{**} \in X^{**}. \tag{24}$$

Let $x^{**} \in X^{**}$. [3, Corollary 12.3.9, page 146] implies that there exists a bounded net $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ such that $x_\alpha \rightharpoonup_{\mathrm{w}^*} x^{**}$ and $Px_\alpha \longrightarrow P^*x^{**}$. Then we have

$$\delta\|x_\alpha\|^2 \leq \langle Ax_\alpha, x_\alpha\rangle = \langle Px_\alpha, x_\alpha\rangle \longrightarrow \langle P^*x^{**}, x^{**}\rangle.$$

Then [25, Theorem 2.6.14] implies that $\langle P^*x^{**}, x^{**}\rangle \geq \delta\|x^{**}\|^2$. Hence (24) holds.

By (24), there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $\gamma P^* - S^*$ is monotone. Fact 2.13 shows that $\gamma P - S$ is maximally monotone of type (NI). Let f be defined as in (23). Then $\gamma P - S = \partial\gamma f - S$. Thus Proposition 3.17 implies that X is reflexive. ■

Corollary 3.19 *Let $A : X \to X^*$ be linear continuous and monotone. Assume that* $\operatorname{ran} A = X^*$. *Suppose that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\langle Ax, x\rangle \geq \delta\|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in X$. Then X is reflexive.*

Proof. Combine Corollary 3.18 and Fact 2.12 directly. ■

At last, we pose the following three interesting problems.

Problem 3.20 *Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximally monotone with* $\operatorname{int}\operatorname{dom} A \neq \varnothing$ *and* $\operatorname{ran} A = X^*$. *Is A necessarily ultramaximally monotone?*

Problem 3.21 *If there exists a linear continuous and ultramaximally monotone operator defined on X, is X necessarily reflexive?*

A general problem is that:

Problem 3.22 *If there exists an ultramaximally monotone operator with nonempty interior domain defined on X, is X necessarily reflexive?*

For the subdifferential operator, we have an affirmative answer to Problem 3.22 by Lemma 2.14.

Saint Raymond presents the following interesting result related to Lemma 2.14 and Problem 3.22 in [33, Corollary 2.5]:

> Let $f : X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper with $\operatorname{int}\operatorname{dom} f \neq \varnothing$ and $\partial f = X^*$. Then X is reflexive.

Orihuela and Ruiz Galán proved the above result when f is supercoercive (see [28, Theorem 7]).

We wonder if there exists a direct way to prove that ∂f is ultramaximally monotone when f is lower semicontinuous and convex under Saint Raymond's assumption that $\operatorname{int}\operatorname{dom} f \neq \varnothing$ and $\partial f = X^*$.

Acknowledgment

The author thanks Dr. Tony Lau and Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences at University of Alberta for supporting his visit and providing excellent working conditions, which completed this research.

References

[1] F.J. Aragón Artacho, J.M. Borwein, V. Martín-Márquez, and L. Yao, "Applications of Convex Analysis within Mathematics", *Mathematical Programming (Series B)*, in press; `http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10107-013-0707-3`.

[2] H. Attouch and H. Brézis, "Duality for the sum of convex functions in general Banach spaces", *Aspects of Mathematics and its Applications*, J. A. Barroso, ed., Elsevier Science Publishers, pp. 125–133, 1986.

[3] H.H. Bauschke, *Projection Algorithms and Monotone Operators*, Ph.D. thesis, Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, 1996; `https://people.ok.ubc.ca/bauschke/Research/bauschke_thesis.pdf`.

[4] H.H. Bauschke, J.M. Borwein, X. Wang, and L. Yao, "The Brezis-Browder Theorem in a general Banach space", *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 262, pp. 4948–4971, 2012.

[5] H.H. Bauschke and P.L. Combettes, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 2011.

[6] H.H. Bauschke and S. Simons, "Stronger maximal monotonicity properties of linear operators", *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 60, pp. 163–174, 1999.

[7] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, "Monotone linear relations: maximality and Fitzpatrick functions", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 16, pp. 673–686, 2009.

[8] H.H. Bauschke, X. Wang, and L. Yao, "Rectangularity and paramonotonicity of maximally monotone operators ", *Optimization*, in press;
`http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02331934.2012.707653`.

[9] J.M. Borwein, "Maximal monotonicity via convex analysis", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 13, pp. 561–586, 2006.

[10] J.M. Borwein, "Fifty years of maximal monotonicity", *Optimization Letters*, vol. 4, pp. 473–490, 2010.

[11] J.M. Borwein, S. Fitzpatrick, and J. Vanderwerff, "Examples of convex functions and classification of normed spaces", vol. 1, pp. 61–73, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, 1994.

[12] J.M. Borwein and L. Yao,"Some results on the convexity of the closure of the domain of a maximally monotone operator", *Optimization Letters*, vol 8, pp. 237–246, 2014.

[13] J.M. Borwein and J.D. Vanderwerff, *Convex Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[14] J.M. Borwein and L. Yao, "Recent progress on Monotone Operator Theory"; *Infinite Products of Operators and Their Applications*, Contemporary Mathematics, in press; `http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.3401v3`.

[15] H. Brézis and F.E. Browder, "Singular Hammerstein equations and maximal monotone operators", *Bulletin of the AMS*, vol. 82, pp. 623–625, 1976.

[16] H. Brézis and F.E. Browder, "Linear maximal monotone operators and singular nonlinear integral equations of Hammerstein type", in *Nonlinear Analysis (collection of papers in honor of Erich H. Rothe)*, Academic Press, pp. 31–42, 1978.

[17] H. Brezis and A. Haraux, "Image d'une somme d'opérateurs monotones et applications", *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 23, pp. 165–186, 1976.

[18] F.E. Browder, "Nonlinear maximal monotone operators in Banach space", *Mathematische Annalen* , vol. 175, pp. 89–113, 1968.

[19] R.S. Burachik and A.N. Iusem, *Set-Valued Mappings and Enlargements of Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.

[20] D. Butnariu and A.N. Iusem, *Totally Convex Functions for Fixed Points Computation and Infinite Dimensional Optimization*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.

[21] S. Fitzpatrick, "Representing monotone operators by convex functions", in *Workshop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra 1988)*, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, vol. 20, Canberra, Australia, pp. 59–65, 1988.

[22] J.-P. Gossez, "On a convexity property of the range of a maximal monotone operator", *Proceedings of the AMS*, vol. 55, pp. 359–360, 1976.

[23] J.-P. Gossez, "Opérateurs monotones non linéaires dans les espaces de Banach non réflexifs", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 34, pp. 371–395, 1971.

[24] J.P. Revalski, "Regularization Procedures for Monotone Operators: Recent Advances", *Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational and Analytical Mathematics in Honour of Jonathan Borweins 60th Birthday*, Springer.

[25] R.E. Megginson, *An Introduction to Banach Space Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[26] M. Marques Alves and B.F. Svaiter, "A new old class of maximal monotone operators", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 16, pp. 881–890, 2009.

[27] M. Marques Alves and B.F. Svaiter, "On Gossez type (D) maximal monotone operators", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 17, pp. 1077–1088, 2010.

[28] J. Orihuela and M. Ruiz Galán, "A coercive James's weak compactness theorem and nonlinear variational problems", *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 75, pp. 598–611, 2012.

[29] R.R. Phelps, *Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[30] R.R. Phelps, "Lectures on maximally monotone operators", *Extracta Mathematicae*, vol. 12, pp. 193–230, 1997; `http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9302209v1`, February 1993.

[31] R.R. Phelps and S. Simons, "Unbounded linear monotone operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces", *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 5, pp. 303–328, 1998.

[32] R.T. Rockafellar and R.J-B Wets, *Variational Analysis*, 3nd Printing, Springer-Verlag, 2009.

[33] J. Saint Raymond, "Weak compactness and variational characterization of the convexity", *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, pp. 927–940, 2013.

[34] S. Reich, "The range of sums of accretive and monotone operators", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 68, pp. 310–317, 1979.

[35] S. Simons, "The range of a monotone operator", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 199, pp. 176–201, 1996.

[36] S. Simons, *Minimax and Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[37] S. Simons, *From Hahn-Banach to Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.

[38] S. Simons, "Banach SSD Spaces and classes of monotone sets", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 18, pp. 227–258, 2011.

[39] S. Simons and C. Zălinescu, "Fenchel duality, Fitzpatrick functions and maximal monotonicity", *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 6, pp. 1–22, 2005.

[40] S. Simons, "Linear L-positive sets and their polar subspaces", *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, vol. 20, pp. 603–615, 2012.

[41] S. Simons, "A Brezis-Browder theorem for SSDB spaces", preprint. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.4251v3`, September 2010.

[42] S. Simons, "Quadrivariate existence theorems and strong representability", *Optimization*, vol. 60, pp. 875–891, 2011.

[43] S. Simons, "Polar subspaces and automatic maximality", *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, in press; `http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11228-013-0244-5`.

[44] M.D. Voisei and C. Zălinescu, "Strongly-Representable Monotone Operators", *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 16, pp. 1011–1033, 2009.

[45] L. Yao, *On Monotone Linear Relations and the Sum Problem in Banach Spaces*, Ph.D. thesis, University of British Columbia (Okanagan), 2011; `http://hdl.handle.net/2429/39970`.

[46] C. Zălinescu, *Convex Analysis in General Vector Spaces*, World Scientific Publishing, 2002.

[47] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications II/A: Linear Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[48] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications II/B: Nonlinear Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.